

# 2010 New York State Envirothon

Team Number

Room Number

Judge's Number or initials

- 0 Not at all
- 2 Poor or poorly
- 4 Fair or slightly well
- 6 Good or fairly well
- 8 Excellent or very well
- 10 Outstanding

(Each number is worth 10 points for a total of 200 points)

## 2010 Oral Presentation Judge's Score Sheet

A.	Presentation Addressed or Identified (Maximum 50 points)	Circle Score
1.	The concerned entities that are most likely to suffer adverse impacts from the nanotechnology manufacturing facility.	0 2 4 6 8 10
2.	Dangers to the groundwater supplies caused by the development of the nanotechnology manufacturing facility.	0 2 4 6 8 10
3.	Trade-offs faced by the planners of the facility.	0 2 4 6 8 10
4.	A protection plan for natural resources.	0 2 4 6 8 10
5.	A protection plan for existing communities, businesses and neighbors.	0 2 4 6 8 10

**Total Section A**

B.	Presentation Demonstrated an Understanding of the Issues (Maximum 70 Points)	Circle Score
6.	The conflict between economic and environmental issues.	0 2 4 6 8 10
7.	The pros and cons of various concerned entities: social, cultural and political issues.	0 2 4 6 8 10
8.	The pros and cons of various concerned entities: ecological and environmental issues.	0 2 4 6 8 10
9.	The pros and cons of impacts on the surrounding communities.	0 2 4 6 8 10
10.	The hydrology of ground water and surface water.	0 2 4 6 8 10
11.	Presented a feasible plan which protects resources during construction and long-term.	0 2 4 6 8 10
12.	Demonstrated originality.	0 2 4 6 8 10

**Total Section B**

C.	Quality of Presentation (Maximum Score 60)	Circle Score
13.	Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion.	0 2 4 6 8 10
14.	The parts were clearly stated and supported	0 2 4 6 8 10
15.	Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, exhibited professionalism, etc.)	0 2 4 6 8 10
16.	References and resources were stated to support the presentation.	0 2 4 6 8 10
17.	Visual aids made major points and showed conclusions. They were correct, eye appealing, readable and neat.	0 2 4 6 8 10
18.	Questions from the judges were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating.	0 2 4 6 8 10

**Total Section C**

D.	Required Elements (Maximum Score 20)	Circle Score
19.	Each team member gets 2 points for equal oral participation in presentation.	0 2 4 6 8 10
20.	Effective use of time was shown. Give 10 points (8-10 minutes); 6 points (7-8 minutes); 2 points (under 7 or over 10 minutes)	0 2 4 6 8 10

**Total Section D**

**Scale for Scoring** 0 1 2 POOR 3 4 5 7 AVERAGE 8 9 10 EXCELLENT

**Final Score out of 200**