

# 2011 New York State Envirothon

## Oral Presentation Judge's Score Sheet

Team Number

Room Number

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Number or initials

\_\_\_\_\_

(Each number is worth 10 points for a total of 200 points)

- 0 Not at all
- 2 Poor or poorly
- 4 Fair or slightly well
- 6 Good or fairly well
- 8 Excellent or very well
- 10 Outstanding

### A. The Presentation: (Maximum 120 points) Circle Score

1. Identified problems associated w/climate change & sea level rise in Mahicantuck estuary.  0  2  4  6  8  10
2. Addressed concerns of citizens, businesses, advocacy groups, local governments and state agencies of the estuary that relate to sea level & impacts of climate change.  0  2  4  6  8  10
3. Gave the pros & cons of the case for planners who favor relocation from the shoreline & minimizing structural protection against sea level rise.  0  2  4  6  8  10
4. Gave the pros & cons of the case for planners who believe technological & engineering solutions hold the most promise against sea level rise.  0  2  4  6  8  10
5. Delivered a plan for dealing with higher water levels, increased flooding & other impacts of climate change, using an analysis of the positions in #3 and #4.  0  2  4  6  8  10
6. Plan made an effort to reduce the root cause of climate change by reducing the estuary area's carbon footprint.  0  2  4  6  8  10
7. Showed a solid understanding of the potential impacts of climate change & sea level rise on the habitats, flora & fauna of the Mahicantuck estuary ecosystem.  0  2  4  6  8  10
8. Showed an understanding of the political complexities of trying to plan on the estuary level, & not just on the local level.  0  2  4  6  8  10
9. Showed a solid understanding of the social & cultural issues that may be related to the plan. Among the issues may be private property rights, traditions, clean & healthy environment, urban issues, cultural issues, & environmental justice.  0  2  4  6  8  10
10. Gave practical solutions that could really be implemented with long-term sustainability.  0  2  4  6  8  10
11. Demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issues & gave information on the cost of implementing the plan, cost of doing nothing, future cost, funding sources, etc.  0  2  4  6  8  10
12. The presentation demonstrated originality and creativity.  0  2  4  6  8  10

**Total Section A**

### B. Quality of Presentation (Maximum Score 60) Circle Score

13. Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion.  0  2  4  6  8  10
14. The parts were clearly stated and supported  0  2  4  6  8  10
15. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, exhibited professionalism, etc.)  0  2  4  6  8  10
16. References and resources were stated to support the presentation.  0  2  4  6  8  10
17. Visual aids made major points and showed conclusions. They were correct, eye appealing, readable and neat.  0  2  4  6  8  10
18. Questions from the judges were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating.  0  2  4  6  8  10

**Total Section B**

### C. Required Elements (Maximum Score 20) Circle Score

19. Each team member gets 2 points for equal oral participation in presentation.  0  2  4  6  8  10
20. Effective use of time was shown. Give 10 points (8-10 minutes); 6 points (7-8 minutes); 2 points (under 7 or over 10 minutes), (*Time is called at 10 minutes*)  0  2  4  6  8  10

**Scale for Scoring**  0  1  2 **POOR**  3  4  5 **AVERAGE**  8  9  10 **EXCELLENT**

**Total Section C**

**Final Score out of 200**